
**PATENT** 

Intel No. P13094



## DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION (FOR INTEL CORPORATION PATENT APPLICATIONS)

(ATSK)

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, mailing address and citizenship are as stated below, next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first, and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an

original, first, and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled				
ARRANGEMENTS TO IMPROVE NOISE IMMUNITY OF DIFFERENTIAL SIGNALS				
the specification of which:  is attached hereto.  was filed on17, 2002 as United States Application Number  10/046,783 or PCT International Application Number  and was amended on (if applicable).				
I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claim(s), as amended by any amendment referred to above. I do not know and do not believe that the claimed invention was ever known or used in the United States of America before my invention thereof, or patented or described in any printed publication in any country before my invention thereof or more than one year prior to this application. I do not know and do not believe that the claimed invention was in public use or on sale in the United States of America more than one year prior to this application, nor do I know or believe that the invention has been patented or made the subject of an inventor's certificate issued before the date of this application in any country foreign to the United States of America on an application filed by me or my legal representatives or assigns more than twelve months (for a utility patent application) or six months (for a design patent application) prior to this application.				
l acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56.				
I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, Section 119(a)-(d), of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below				

**INTEL CORPORATION** Rev. 01/2002 (D3 INTEL)

-1-

and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

Prior Foreign Application(s):

Priority Claimed?

(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No

I hereby claim the benefit under title 35, United States Code, Section 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below

(Application Number) Filing Date

(Application Number) Filing Date

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, Section 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, Section 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

(Application Number) Filing Date (Status -- patented, pending, abandoned)

(Application Number) Filing Date (Status -- patented, pending, abandoned)

I hereby appoint: Donald R. Antonelli, Reg. No. 20,296; David T. Terry, Reg. No. 20,178; Melvin Kraus, Reg. No. 22,466; William I. Solomon, Reg. No. 28,565; Gregory E. Montone, Reg. No. 28,141; Ronald J. Shore, Reg. No. 28,577; Donald E. Stout, Reg. No. 26,422; Alan E. Schiavelli, Reg. No. 32,087; James N. Dresser, Reg. No. 22,973; Carl I. Brundidge, Reg. No. 29,621; Paul J. Skwierawski, Reg. No. 32,173; Robert M. Bauer, 34,487, my attorneys of ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP with offices located at 1300 North Seventeenth Street, Suite 1800, Arlington, Virginia 22209,

INTEL CORPORATION Rev. 01/2002 (D3 INTEL)

- 2 -

telephone 703-312-6600, fax 703-312-6666; and Alan K. Aldous, Reg. No. 31,905; R. Edward Brake, Reg. No. 37,784; Ben Burge, Reg. No. 42,372; Robert A. Burtzlaff, Reg. No. 35,466; Richard C. Calderwood, Reg. No. 35,468; George Chen, Reg. No. 50,807; Jeffrey S. Draeger, Reg. No. 41,000; Cynthia Thomas Faatz, Reg No. 39,973; Christopher Gagne, Reg. No. 36,142; Jeffrey B. Huter, Reg. No. 41,086; John Kacvinsky, Reg. No. 40,040; Seth Z. Kalson, Reg. No. 40,670; David J. Kaplan, Reg. No. 41,105; Peter Lam, Reg. No. 44,855; Issac Lin, Reg. No. 50,672; Anthony Martinez, Reg. No. 44,223; Larry Mennemeier, Reg. No. 51,003; Paul Nagy, Reg. No. 37.896; Dennis A. Nicholls, Reg. No. 42.036; Leo V. Novakoski, Reg. No. 37,198; Lanny Parker, Reg. No. 44,281; Thomas C. Reynolds, Reg. No. 32,488; Kenneth M. Seddon, Reg. No. 43,105; Mark Seeley, Reg. No. 32,299; Steven P. Skabrat, Reg. No. 36,279; Howard A. Skaist, Reg. No. 36,008; Robert G. Winkle, Reg. No. 37,474; Sharon Wong, Reg. No. 37,760; Steven D. Yates, Reg. No. 42,242; Calvin E. Wells; Reg. No. 43,256; Michael Willardson, Reg. No. 50,856 and Charles K. Young, Reg. No. 39,435 my patent attorneys and agents of INTEL CORPORATION, with offices located at 2200 Mission College Blvd., Santa Clara, CA 95052, telephone 408-765-8080, with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith.

Send all correspondence to:

Customer Number 020457 ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 North Seventeenth Street, Suite 1800 Arlington, VA 22209

Direct all telephone calls and faxes to:

TEL: (703) 312-6600 FAX: (703) 312-6666

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of Sole/First Inventor: Dong ZHONG			
Inventor's Signature:	Date: 02/25/02		
Residence: Chandler, AZ, USA (City, State) (Country)	Citizenship: China		
Mailing Address: 500 N. Metro Blvd., #2157, Chandler, AZ 85226			

INTEL CORPORATION Rev. 01/2002 (D3 INTEL)

Full Name of Second Inventor: Yuan-Liang LI	
Inventor's Signature: Juan Transport	Date: 3-5-02
Residence: Chandler, AZ, USA (City, State) (Country)	Citizenship: Taiwan
Mailing Address: 1422 W. Hopi Dr., Chandler, AZ 85224	_
Full Name of Third Inventor: David G. FIGUEROA	
Inventor's Signature:	Date: 3-6-02
Residence: Mesa, AZ (City, State) (Country)	Citizenship: USA
Mailing Address: 5025 E. Hilton Avenue, Mesa, AZ 85206	
Full Name of Fourth Inventor:	
Inventor's Signature:	Date:
Residence: (City, State) (Country)	Citizenship:
Mailing Address:	
Full Name of Fifth Inventor:	<del></del>
Inventor's Signature:	Date:
Residence: (City, State) (Country)	Citizenship:
Mailing Address:	<u> </u>

INTEL CORPORATION Rev. 01/2002 (D3 INTEL)



- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclosure information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclosure all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by 991.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
- (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made or record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
- (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
- (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
- (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
- (1) Each inventor named in the application;
- (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.
- (e) In any continuation-in-part application, the duty under this section includes the duty to disclose to the Office all information known to the person to be material to patentability, as defined in paragraph (b) of this section, which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of the continuation-in-part application.

INTEL CORPORATION Rev. 01/2002 (D3 INTEL)

98%